

τ -Tilting Theory and τ -Slices

Hipolito Treffinger

July 11, 2016

Abstract

Comparing the module categories of an algebra and of the endomorphism algebra of a given support τ -tilting module, we give a generalization of the Brenner-Butler's tilting theorem in the framework of τ -tilting theory. Afterwards we define τ -slices and prove that complete slices of tilted algebras and local slices of cluster tilted algebras are examples of complete τ -slices. Then we apply this concept to the study of simply connected tilted algebras. Finally, we study the one-point extensions and the split-by-nilpotent extensions of an algebra with τ -slices.

1 Introduction

Let A be a finite dimensional basic algebra over an algebraically closed field k and $\text{mod}A$ be the category of finitely generated right A -modules. The Auslander-Reiten translation in $\text{mod}A$ is denoted by τ_A .

Since the end of the twentieth century, tilting theory has played a central rôle in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras. It started with the study of the Coxeter functors defined by Bernstein, Gelfand and Ponomarev in [9], further generalized by Auslander, Platzeck and Reiten in [7]. Afterwards Brenner and Butler introduced in [11] tilting functors and proved the so-called tilting theorem. A couple of years later, Happel and Ringel defined tilted algebras as endomorphism algebras of the tilting modules over hereditary algebras. They showed in [14] that many of the characteristics of the module category of a tilted algebra can be deduced from those of a corresponding hereditary algebra via the tilting theorem. Moreover they showed that given a tilted algebra one can recover the corresponding hereditary algebra as endomorphism algebra of a particular tilting module. They called this tilting module a complete slice.

In the early 2000, Fomin and Zelevinski defined in [13] cluster algebras. These algebras are connected to a wide variety of areas in mathematics, including representation theory. The definition of cluster algebras relies strongly onto the concept of mutation. In [1], Adachi, Iyama and Reiten applied the mutation process to representation theory of algebras and extended classical tilting theory via the so-called τ -tilting theory.

Tilting theory is based on comparing the representation theory of an algebra with that of the endomorphism algebra of a tilting module over that algebra. It is shown in [1] that tilting modules are exactly the faithful support τ -tilting modules (see Proposition

1.8). Hence, extending results from tilting theory to τ -tilting theory increases the amount of module categories that can be compared to a given one. The first part of this paper is devoted to the comparison of the module category of a given algebra with the module category of the endomorphism algebra of a given support τ -tilting module. We denote by $FacM$ (or $SubM$) the full subcategory of $\text{mod}A$ having as objects the quotient modules (or submodules respectively) of finite direct sums of M . Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.1). *Let A be an algebra and M a support τ -tilting A -module, $B = \text{End}_A M$ be its endomorphism algebra and $C = A/\text{Ann}M$.*

1. *The algebra morphism $\varphi : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_B({}_B M)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(m) = ma$ for every $m \in M$ and $a \in A$ induces an isomorphism $C \cong \text{End}_B({}_B M)$.*
2. *There exists a torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in $\text{mod}B$ such that the functor $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, -) : \text{Sub}(\tau_A M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $\text{Tor}_1^B(-, M) : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \text{Sub}(\tau_A M)$ if and only if $\tau_C M = \tau_A M$.*

We combine the previous result with one proved by Jasso ([15, Proposition 3.5]) in order to generalize the tilting theorem of Brenner and Butler. Our statement is the following.

Corollary 1.2 (Corollary 2.2). *Let A be an algebra and M a support τ -tilting A -module. Consider the pair of subcategories $(FacM, \text{Sub}(\tau_A M))$ of $\text{mod}A$. Let $B = \text{End}_A(M)$ be the endomorphism algebra of M and $C = A/\text{Ann}M$. Then there exists a torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in $\text{mod}B$ such that*

1. *The functor $\text{Hom}_A(M, -) : FacM \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $- \otimes_B M : \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow FacM$.*

Moreover $\tau_C M = \tau_A M$ if and only if

2. *The functor $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, -) : \text{Sub}(\tau_A M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $\text{Tor}_1^B(-, M) : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \text{Sub}(\tau_A M)$.*

Next we turn our attention to a special class of support τ -tilting modules, which we call τ -slices.

Definition 1.3 (Definition 3.4). Let Σ be a presection (see Definition 3.1) in the Auslander-Reiten quiver Γ_A of A . Then Σ is a τ -slice if $M = \bigoplus_{U \in \Sigma} U$ is a support τ -tilting module.

It is a *complete* τ -slice if M is a τ -tilting module.

The main motivation to introduce τ -slices is that they respect the assumptions of the previous corollary. The τ -slices generalize the complete slices defined in [14] and the local slices defined in [2]. For instance the endomorphism algebra of a τ -slice is always hereditary (Proposition 3.7). Also we are able to prove the following equivalences.

Theorem 1.4 (Proposition 4.3, Proposition 3.21). *Let A an algebra.*

1. If A is a tilted algebra, then Σ is a complete slice if and only if Σ is a complete τ -slice.
2. If A is a cluster-tilted algebra, then Σ is a local slice if and only if Σ is a complete τ -slice.

Moreover, we use τ -slices to get a result on simply connected tilted algebras.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 4.7). *Let A be an algebra and Γ_A be its Auslander-Reiten quiver. Then Γ_A has a connected component Γ that is simply connected, convex, generalized standard and has a complete τ -slice Σ if and only if A is a simply connected tilted algebra having Σ as a complete slice in $\text{mod}A$.*

We also show that some one-point extensions (Proposition 5.1, Corollary 5.5) and split-by-nilpotent extensions (Theorem 5.9) preserve τ -slices.

1.1 Preliminaries

In this paper, by algebra we mean a finite dimensional basic algebra over an algebraically closed field k . Given an algebra A , we consider the category $\text{mod}A$ of finitely generated right A -modules. For a given object M of $\text{mod}A$ we denote by $\text{add}M$ (respectively $\text{Fac}M$, $\text{Sub}M$) the category of all direct summands (respectively quotient modules, submodules) of finite direct sums of copies of M . Following the standard notation we let $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$ be the duality between $\text{mod}A$ and $\text{mod}A^{\text{op}}$. Moreover the Auslander-Reiten translate of M in $\text{mod}A$ is denoted by $\tau_A M$ and the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A is denoted by Γ_A . If the context is unambiguous, the index of the Auslander-Reiten translation will be omitted leading to the notation τM . Given a module X , the τ -orbit of X is the class of modules Y such that $Y \cong \tau^n X$ for some integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also, the number of non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands of X is denoted by $|X|$. We refer the reader to [5] or [8] for further details.

Given a path algebra kQ/I , the composition of the arrows is denoted by order of appearance, for instance the path $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y \xrightarrow{\beta} z$ is written $\alpha\beta$.

Given two modules X, Y in $\text{mod}A$ we say that there exists a *path* in $\text{mod}A$ from X to Y if there exists a sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} Y$$

where the X_i are indecomposable A -modules and the f_i are nonzero morphisms. We then say that X is a *predecessor* of Y and that Y is a *successor* of X . Moreover, if the path consists of only one irreducible morphism we say that X is an *immediate predecessor* of Y and that Y is an *immediate successor* of X .

A connected component Γ is said to be *generalized standard* if for every pair of modules $X, Y \in \Gamma$ we have $\text{rad}_A^\infty(X, Y) = 0$.

Let Σ be a full subquiver of Γ . Then Σ is said to be *convex* if for any $X, Y \in \Sigma$ and every path

$$X \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} Y$$

the modules X_i belong to Σ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let Γ be a connected component of Γ_A . A connected full subquiver Σ of Γ is a *section* if it is acyclic, convex and intersects every τ -orbit of Γ exactly once.

The concept of complete slice plays a central part in the present paper. There are many equivalent definitions in the literature. We use the definition given by Ringel in [21] for our proofs, which is the following.

Definition 1.6 ([21], Definition 4.2.2). A finite subquiver $\Sigma \subset \Gamma_A$ is said to be a *slice* if satisfies the following axioms:

1. Σ is a sincere A -module.
2. Σ is a convex in $\text{mod } A$.
3. If $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ is an almost split sequence in $\text{mod } A$, then at most one of L and N belongs to Σ .

Moreover, Σ is called a *complete slice* if it also satisfies the following condition:

4. If $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ is an almost split sequence in $\text{mod } A$ such that an indecomposable direct summand X of M is also a direct summand of Σ , then either L or N is in Σ .

Support τ -tilting modules are defined as follows.

Definition 1.7 ([1], Definition 0.1). Let M be a basic A -module. Then:

1. M is said to be τ -rigid if $\text{Hom}_A(M, \tau_A M) = 0$;
2. M is a τ -tilting module if M is τ -rigid and $|M| = |A|$;
3. M is a *support τ -tilting* module if there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that M is a τ -tilting module over the algebra A/AeA .

The following proposition records some properties of support τ -tilting modules.

Proposition 1.8 ([1], Proposition 2.2). 1. The τ -tilting modules are precisely the sincere support τ -tilting modules.

2. The tilting modules are precisely the faithful support τ -tilting modules.

3. Any τ -tilting (or τ -rigid) A -module T is a tilting (or partial tilting respectively) C -module, where $C = A/\text{Ann}T$.

Proposition 1.9. Let M be an A -module. Then M is a tilting module if and only if M is a τ -tilting module with $\text{pd}M \leq 1$.

Proof. Necessity follows from Proposition 1.8 (2) and the definition of tilting module.

For sufficiency, let M be a τ -tilting A -module such that $\text{pd}_A M \leq 1$. Then $|M| = |A|$ because M is a τ -tilting module. By [8, Corollary IV.4.7], $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, M) \cong D\text{Hom}_A(M, \tau M) = 0$. Therefore M is rigid. Thus M is a tilting module. $\square$

Let M be a support τ -tilting module. We associate M to the pair $(FacM, Sub(\tau M))$ of subcategories of $\text{mod}A$. Note that if $X \in FacM$ and $Y \in Sub(\tau M)$ then $\text{Hom}_A(X, Y) = 0$. Moreover if M is a τ -tilting module, then the pair $(FacM, Sub(\tau M))$ is a torsion pair, see [1, Theorem 2.12].

2 A τ -tilting Theorem

Given an algebra A and a support τ -tilting A -module M , we consider the algebras $B = \text{End}_A(M)$ and $C = A/\text{Ann}_A M$. We also consider in $\text{mod}B$ the torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, where $\mathcal{X} = \{X \in \text{mod}B : X \otimes_B M = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y \in \text{mod}B : \text{Tor}_1^B(Y, M) = 0\}$. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a support τ -tilting A -module, $B = \text{End}_A M$ be its endomorphism algebra and $C = A/\text{Ann}_A M$.*

1. *The algebra morphism $\varphi : A \longrightarrow \text{End}_B({}_B M)$ defined by $\varphi(a)(m) = ma$ for every $m \in M$ and $a \in A$ induces an isomorphism $C \cong \text{End}_B({}_B M)$.*
2. *The functor $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, -) : Sub(\tau_A M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $\text{Tor}_1^B(-, M) : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow Sub(\tau_A M)$ if and only if $\tau_C M = \tau_A M$.*

Proof of 1. Let M be a support τ -tilting A -module, $B = \text{End}_A M$ and $C = A/\text{Ann}_A M$. Consider the morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_B M$ defined by $a \mapsto (m \mapsto ma)$. For any $a \in A$ we have that $\varphi(a) = 0$ if and only if $Ma = 0$. Thus $\text{Ker} \varphi = \text{Ann}_A M$. Now φ induces, by passing to the quotient, a morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : C \rightarrow \text{End}_B M$ also defined by $c \mapsto (m \mapsto mc)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ann}_A M & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \text{End}_B({}_B M) \\ & & \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\varphi} & \\ & & C & & \end{array}$$

Because of Proposition 1.8, the module M is a C -tilting module. Moreover because $\text{mod}C$ is a full subcategory of $\text{mod}A$, we have that $\text{End}_C M \cong \text{End}_A M = B$. Therefore it follows from [5, Lemma VI.3.3] that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof of 2. Sufficiency. An obvious consequence of the equality of $\tau_C M$ and $\tau_A M$ is that $\tau_A M$ is a C -module, so that $Sub(\tau_A M) = Sub(\tau_C M)$. We may then denote $\tau_A M$ and $\tau_C M$ simply by τM .

We claim that $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, -)|_{Sub(\tau M)} = \text{Ext}_C^1(M, -)|_{Sub(\tau M)}$. Indeed for $L \in \text{mod}A$, we have $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, L) \cong D\overline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \tau M)$ where $\overline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \tau M)$ is the quotient of $\text{Hom}_A(L, \tau M)$ by the subspace $\mathcal{I}_A(L, \tau M)$ of all morphisms from L to τM in $\text{mod}A$ which factor through an injective A -module. Assume L belongs to $Sub(\tau M)$ and $\mathcal{I}_A(L, \tau M) \neq 0$. Then there exist an injective A -module I and a nonzero morphism $f : L \rightarrow \tau M$ such that f factors through I as $f = f_2 f_1$. As L is a C -module, let $\iota : L \rightarrow I'$ be an injective envelope in

$\text{mod}C$. Then ι is a monomorphism in $\text{mod}A$ and the injectivity of I yields $h : I' \rightarrow I$ in $\text{mod}A$ such that $f_1 = h\iota$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L & \xrightarrow{f} & \tau M \\
 & \searrow f_1 & \nearrow f_2 \\
 & I & \\
 \iota \downarrow & \nearrow h & \\
 I' & &
 \end{array}$$

Therefore $f = f_2 h \iota$ and $f_2 h \neq 0$ because $f \neq 0$. Moreover, $\text{mod}C$ is a full subcategory of $\text{mod}A$, and I' , τM are C -modules, so $f_2 h$ is a morphism in $\text{mod}C$. However, M is a tilting C -module, so $\text{pd}_C M \leq 1$ and therefore $f_2 h = 0$, a contradiction. This shows that $\mathcal{I}_A(L, \tau M) = 0$. Using again that $\text{mod}C$ is a full subcategory in $\text{mod}A$, and that $\tau_C M = \tau_A M$ we get functorial isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_A^1(M, L) \cong D\text{Hom}_A(L, \tau M) = D\text{Hom}_C(L, \tau M) \cong \text{Ext}_C^1(M, L)$$

thus establishing our claim. Because M is a C -tilting module, we have that $\text{Ext}_C^1(M, -) : \text{Sub}(\tau M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence with quasi-inverse $\text{Tor}_1^B(-, M) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Sub}(\tau M)$. Hence so is $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, -)$.

Necessity. Assume $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, -) : \text{Sub}(\tau M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence of categories. Because M is a tilting B -module with $\text{End}_B(M) \cong C$, we have that $\text{Sub}(\tau_C M)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{X}$ via the functor $\text{Tor}_1^B(-, M)$. Hence $\tau_A M \cong \text{Tor}_1^B(\text{Ext}_A^1(M, \tau_A M), M)$ is a C -module. So it follows from [5, Lemma VIII.5.2] that $\tau_A M$ is isomorphic to $\tau_C M$. $\square$

As a consequence of the previous result and [15, Proposition 3.5] we can state a generalization of the tilting theorem of Brenner and Butler.

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a support τ -tilting A -module. Consider the pair of subcategories $(\text{Fac}M, \text{Sub}(\tau_A M))$ of $\text{mod}A$. Let $B = \text{End}_A(M)$ be the endomorphism algebra of M and $C = A/\text{Ann}M$. Then there exists a torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in $\text{mod}B$ such that*

1. *The functor $\text{Hom}_A(M, -) : \text{Fac}M \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $- \otimes_B M : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Fac}M$.*

Moreover $\tau_C M = \tau_A M$ if and only if

2. *The functor $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, -) : \text{Sub}(\tau_A M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $\text{Tor}_1^B(-, M) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Sub}(\tau_A M)$.*

Proof. The first assertion was proved by Jasso in [15, Proposition 3.5]. The second statement is exactly the second part of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

It was pointed out by Adachi, Iyama and Reiten in [1] that we can develop a dual theory if we consider the τ^- -rigid modules, that is $\text{Hom}_A(\tau_A^{-1}M, M) = 0$. Following the dual arguments we can prove the following result.

Corollary 2.3. *Let M be a support τ^- -tilting A -module. Consider the pair of subcategories $(\text{Fac}\tau_A^{-1}M, \text{Sub}M)$ of $\text{mod}A$. Let $B = \text{End}_A(M)$ be the endomorphism algebra of M and $C = A/\text{Ann}M$. Then there exists a torsion pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}})$ in $\text{mod}B$ such that*

1. *The functor $\text{Hom}_A(-, M) : \text{Sub}M \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $M \otimes_B - : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \text{Sub}M$.*

Moreover $\tau_C^{-1}M = \tau_A^{-1}M$ if and only if

2. *The functor $\text{Ext}_A^1(-, M) : \text{Fac}(\tau_A^{-1}M) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $\text{Tor}_1^B(M, -) : \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \longrightarrow \text{Fac}(\tau_A^{-1}M)$.*

We now present two examples. The first one shows that $\tau_A M = \tau_C M$ is generally not true for a support τ -tilting module M . The second example shows a support τ -tilting module M such that $\tau_A M = \tau_C M$.

Example 2.4. Let A be the path algebra of the quiver


$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \alpha & & \beta & \\ 1 & \rightrightarrows & 2 & \rightrightarrows & 3 \\ & \alpha' & & \beta' & \end{array}$$

bound by the ideal $I = \langle \alpha'\alpha - \beta\beta', \alpha\alpha', \beta'\beta \rangle$. Take the τ -tilting module $M = \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus 1$. Then $\text{Ann}M = \langle \alpha', \beta' \rangle$ and the quotient algebra $C = A/\text{Ann}M$ is the path algebra of the following quiver of type $\mathbb{A}_3$.

$$1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3$$

We know that M is a tilting module in $\text{mod}C$. Hence there exists a torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in $\text{mod}B$, where $B = \text{End}_A(M) = \text{End}_C(M)$. This torsion pair is such that the functor $\text{Hom}_C(M, -)$ is an equivalence of categories between $\text{Fac}M$ and $\mathcal{Y}$; while $\text{Ext}_C^1(M, -)$ is an equivalence of categories between $\text{Sub}(\tau_C M)$ and $\mathcal{X}$. Now $\tau_C M = \frac{2}{3} \oplus 2$ and $\tau_A M = \frac{2}{3} \oplus \frac{3}{2}$. This implies that $\text{Sub}(\tau_C M)$ is strictly contained in $\text{Sub}(\tau_A M)$. So the torsion class $\mathcal{X}$ in $\text{mod}B$ is not equivalent to $\text{Sub}(\tau_A M)$.


Example 2.5. Consider the algebra $A = kQ/I$, where Q is




and $I = \langle \alpha\beta, \gamma\alpha \rangle$. Take $M = \frac{4}{2} \oplus \frac{4}{2} \oplus \frac{43}{1} \oplus 4$, with Auslander-Reiten translate $\tau_A M = \frac{3}{2} \oplus 2 \oplus \frac{3}{2}$. Then $\text{Hom}_A(M, \tau_A M) = 0$ and $|M| = |A| = 4$. Therefore M is a τ -tilting

A -module. Moreover M is not a tilting module because its annihilator $\text{Ann}_A M = \langle \alpha \rangle$ is not zero. Consider the torsion pair $(\text{Fac} M, \text{Sub}(\tau_A M))$ associated to M , then $\text{Fac} M = \text{add}\{\overset{4}{1}, \overset{4}{2}, \overset{43}{1}, \overset{4}{4}, \overset{43}{2}, \overset{3}{3}\}$ and $\text{Sub}(\tau_A M) = \text{add}\{\overset{3}{1}, \overset{2}{2}, \overset{3}{2}, \overset{2}{1}, \overset{1}{1}\}$.

Consider the algebra $C = A/\text{Ann}_A M$. Then C is the hereditary algebra given by the following quiver.



We have that $\tau_C M = \overset{3}{2} \oplus \overset{2}{2} \oplus \overset{3}{2} \cong \tau_A M$. On the other hand, $B = \text{End}_A(M) = kQ'/I'$, where Q' is the quiver



and I' is the ideal generated by the commutativity relation $\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta$. Therefore, Corollary 2.2 yields a torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in $\text{mod} B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_A(M, -) : \text{Fac} M &\longrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \text{Ext}_A^1(M, -) : \text{Sub}(\tau_A M) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

are equivalences of categories. In this particular case we can calculate explicitly the pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ to get $\mathcal{X} = \text{add}\{\overset{3}{3}, \overset{4}{32}, \overset{4}{3}, \overset{4}{2}, \overset{4}{4}\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \text{add}\{\overset{4}{1}, \overset{32}{2}, \overset{32}{1}, \overset{2}{1}, \overset{3}{1}, \overset{1}{1}\}$.

Two other consequences of Theorem 2.1 are the following.

Corollary 2.6. *Let M be a support τ -tilting A -module and $B = \text{End}_A(M)$ be its endomorphism algebra. Then B is connected if and only if $C = A/\text{Ann} M$ is connected.*

Proof. Let M be a support τ -tilting A -module. Then M is a tilting C -module. Therefore the center $Z(C)$ of the algebra C is isomorphic to the center $Z(B)$ of the algebra B (see [5, Lemma VI.3.4]). Hence B is connected if and only if C is connected. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. *Let M be a support τ -tilting A -module and $B = \text{End}_A(M)$ be its endomorphism algebra. Then the algebra $\text{End}_B(M)$ is isomorphic to the algebra A if and only if M is a tilting module.*

Proof. Consider the morphism φ from Theorem 2.1 and suppose that φ is an isomorphism of algebras. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $\text{Ann}_A M = 0$. Consequently we can apply Proposition 1.8 to conclude that M is an tilting A -module. The other implication is shown in [5, Lemma VI.3.3]. $\square$

3 τ -slices

There is a particular class of τ -tilting modules which behaves much like the complete slices in tilted algebras. We call them τ -slices. The rest of the paper is devoted to their study.

3.1 Definition

The τ -slices are support τ -tilting A -modules whose indecomposable direct summands induce a presection in the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A .

Definition 3.1 ([2], Definition 3). Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, Γ_A be its Auslander-Reiten quiver and $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_A$ be a connected component. A full connected subquiver Σ of Γ is called a *presection* if:

1. for any given arrow $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in Γ such that $X \in \Sigma$, either Y or τY belongs to Σ .
2. for any given arrow $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in Γ such that $Y \in \Sigma$, either X or $\tau^{-1}X$ belongs to Σ .

The following are consequences of the definition of presection.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Σ be a presection. Then no immediate successor of Σ is projective. Dually, no immediate predecessor of Σ is injective.*

Proof. Let P be an indecomposable projective module such that there exists an indecomposable summand M of $\text{rad}P$ which belongs to Σ . Then there exists an arrow from M to P in Γ . Since Σ is a presection, either P or τP belongs to Σ . But $\tau P = 0$, then P belongs to Σ . The other case is dual. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let Σ be a presection. Then Σ is acyclic.*

Proof. By definition of presection, every path contained in Σ is a sectional path, see [5, Section IX.2]. Therefore [5, Corollary IX.2.3] implies that Σ is acyclic. $\square$

Now we are able to give the formal definition of the τ -slices.

Definition 3.4. Let Σ be a presection in the Auslander-Reiten quiver Γ_A of A . Then Σ is a τ -slice if $M = \bigoplus_{U \in \Sigma} U$ is a support τ -tilting module. It is a *complete τ -slice* if M is a τ -tilting module.

Remark 3.5. If Σ is a complete τ -slice, then it follows from Definition 1.7 that $|\Sigma| = |A|$. Moreover Σ is sincere by Proposition 1.8.

Remark 3.6. From now on, by abuse of notation, we denote by Σ the presection in Γ_A and the corresponding A -module. The context will indicate if we are speaking about the module in the category $\text{mod}A$ or the subquiver of Γ_A .

One key property of τ -slices is the following.

Proposition 3.7. *Let Σ be a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$. Then the algebra $B = \text{End}_A(\Sigma)$ is a hereditary algebra.*

Proof. Let P be an indecomposable projective B -module and let $u : Q \rightarrow P$ be a nonzero monomorphism in $\text{mod}B$. Consider the torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ associated to ${}_B\Sigma$ viewed as a left B -module. Because Σ is a support τ -tilting module, [15, Proposition 3.5] implies that $\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -)$ induces an equivalence of categories between $\text{Fac}_A\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{Y}$. Since P belongs to $\mathcal{Y}$ so does Q because $\mathcal{Y}$ is closed under submodules. In addition, [15, Proposition 3.5] implies the existence of $M \in \Sigma$, $N \in \text{Fac}\Sigma$ and a nonzero morphism $v : N \rightarrow M$ such that $\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, v) = u$. Note that Σ induces a finite presection Σ in Γ_A , which is acyclic by Lemma 3.3. If N is not a direct summand of Σ , then [5, Lemma VIII.5.4] yields a factorization $v = gf$ where $f : N \rightarrow \tau_A\Sigma$ and $g : \tau_A\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$. But $\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \tau_A\Sigma) = 0$. Therefore $f = 0$. This contradiction emerged from the assumption that N does not belong to Σ . Therefore $N \in \Sigma$, which implies that Q is a projective B -module. $\square$

The following result first appeared in [18] with an equivalent formulation. We give here an alternative proof, using the results developed in Section 2.

Corollary 3.8. *Let Σ be a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$. Then $C = A/\text{Ann}\Sigma$ is a tilted algebra. Moreover Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod}C$.*

Proof. Because Σ is a support τ -tilting module, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that $C \cong \text{End}_B({}_B\Sigma)$, where $B = \text{End}_A(\Sigma)$. Moreover B is hereditary by Proposition 3.7. Therefore C is the endomorphism algebra of a tilting module over a hereditary algebra, and thus is tilted. Finally, $\Sigma_C \cong \text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \Sigma) \otimes_B \Sigma \cong B \otimes_B \Sigma$ which is a complete slice in $\text{mod}C$ because Σ is a tilting B -module and B is hereditary, see [21, Corollary 4.2.3]. $\square$

The next lemma will be useful subsequently.

Lemma 3.9. *Let Σ be a presection in Γ_A such that Σ , as an A -module, is τ -rigid. Then Σ is a τ -slice in Γ_A .*

Proof. Applying Corollary 3.8 we know that Σ is a complete slice in the tilted algebra $C = A/\text{Ann}\Sigma$. We have that $|\Sigma| = |C|$ because Σ is a tilting C -module. Take the set of primitive idempotents $S := \{e_i : e_i \in \text{Ann}\Sigma\}$, the idempotent $e = \sum_{e_i \in S} e_i$ and the algebra $A' = A/AeA$. Then the annihilator of Σ over A' is contained in $\text{rad}A'$ because if $e \in A'$ is an idempotent such that $\Sigma e = 0$, then $e = 0$. Thus $|C| = |A'|$. Therefore Σ is a τ -rigid A' -module such that $|\Sigma| = |A'|$, which means that Σ is a support τ -tilting A -module. As Σ is also a presection by hypothesis, Σ is a τ -slice. $\square$

It is known that complete slices are convex inside the module category. Following Liu [18], we consider a weaker notion of convexity.

Corollary 3.10. *Let Σ be a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$. Then Σ is weakly convex, that is, for every path*

$$X \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} Y$$

in Γ_A such that the morphism $f = f_n \dots f_0$ is different from zero and $X, Y \in \Sigma$, we have $X_i \in \Sigma$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. The τ -slice Σ is a τ -rigid presection. Therefore Σ is weakly convex by [18, Proposition 2.5]. $\square$

3.2 Quotients of Algebras with τ -Slices

Let A be an algebra having a τ -slice Σ . In this subsection, we study the quotient algebras A/I , where $I \subseteq \text{Ann}\Sigma$. As a consequence of the main results of this subsection we get that $\tau_A \Sigma = \tau_C \Sigma$, where $C = A/\text{Ann}\Sigma$, and thus Corollary 2.2 applies.

The next two propositions are needed in the proof of the main theorem of this subsection.

Lemma 3.11. *Let I be an ideal of A such that $I \subseteq \text{Ann}\Sigma$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an irreducible morphism, where $X \in \Sigma$, then Y is an A/I -module. Dually, if $Y \in \Sigma$, then X is an A/I -module.*

Proof. We prove the case where X belongs to Σ . The other is dual.

First notice that Σ is an A/I -module because $I \subseteq \text{Ann}\Sigma$. Then every indecomposable summand of Σ is also an A/I -module. Suppose that X belongs to Σ and that Y does not. Then Lemma 3.2 implies that Y is not a projective A -module. Hence $\tau_A Y$ belong to Σ because Σ is a presection in Γ_A . Consider the almost split sequence ending with Y .

$$0 \rightarrow \tau_A Y \xrightarrow{g} E \xrightarrow{g'} Y \rightarrow 0$$

If E is itself an A/I -module, then so does Y because it is the cokernel of a morphism in $\text{mod}A/I$. Suppose that E is not an A/I -module. Then there exists an indecomposable direct summand Y_1 of E such that Y_1 does not belong to $\text{mod}A/I$. So, there exists an arrow f_1 in Γ_A such that $f_1 : \tau_A Y \rightarrow Y_1$. We know that $\tau_A Y$ belong to Σ . Fix an irreducible morphism $h_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ from Y_1 to Y .

Consider the almost split sequence ending with Y_1 .

$$0 \rightarrow \tau_A Y_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{g'_1} Y_1 \rightarrow 0$$

Then $\tau_A Y_1$ belongs to Σ because $\tau_A Y$ is a direct summand of E_1 . Also there exists a direct summand Y_2 of E_1 which does not belong to $\text{mod}A/I$. Fix an irreducible morphism $h_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ from Y_2 to Y_1 .

Inductively, for every natural number n we have a module Y_n which is not an A/I -module and such that $\tau_A Y_n$ is a direct summand of Σ and an irreducible morphism $h_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_{n-1}$. The composition $h_n h_{n-1} \dots h_2 h_1$ is a sectional path for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise there exists $i \leq n$ such that $Y_i = \tau_A Y_{i-2}$ which belongs to Σ , a contradiction.

Thus, we have an infinite sectional path in Γ_A . Then $Y_i \neq Y_j$ for $i \neq j$ by [5, Corollary IX.2.3]. Moreover $\tau_A Y_i \in \Sigma$ for every i , which contradicts the finiteness of Σ . Therefore Y is an A/I -module. $\square$

Lemma 3.12. *Let I be an ideal of A such that $I \subseteq \text{Ann}\Sigma$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism with X, Y indecomposable. Assume X or Y belongs to Σ . Then f is irreducible in $\text{mod}A$ if and only if f is irreducible in $\text{mod}A/I$.*

Proof. Suppose X is in Σ , the case where Y is in Σ is dual.

The necessity follows from Lemma 3.11, so we prove the sufficiency. Let f be irreducible in $\text{mod}A/I$. In particular both X and Y are A/I -modules. So f is a morphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_t \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\text{mod}A$ but not necessarily irreducible. Let $X \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_t \end{pmatrix}} E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_t$ be left almost split in $\text{mod}A$, with E_i indecomposable for all i . Then f is not a section in $\text{mod}A$ because it is not a section in $\text{mod}A/I$. Then there exists $[f'_1, \dots, f'_t] : E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_t \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^t f'_i f_i$. Lemma 3.11 implies that E_i is an A/I -module for every i . Therefore the factorization given in the sum is a factorization of f in $\text{mod}A/I$. By hypothesis f is irreducible in $\text{mod}A/I$. Therefore $[f_1, \dots, f_t]^t$ is a section or $[f'_1, \dots, f'_t]$ is a retraction. Because the f_i are irreducible, one of the f'_i is a retraction and then an isomorphism. Hence f is irreducible in $\text{mod}A$. $\square$

Corollary 3.13. *Let I be an ideal of A such that $I \subseteq \text{Ann}\Sigma$. Then $\tau_{A/I}X = \tau_AX$ and $\tau_{A/I}^{-1}X = \tau_A^{-1}X$ for every indecomposable direct summand X of Σ .*

Proof. Let X be an indecomposable summand of Σ and consider the almost split short exact sequence in $\text{mod}A$ ending with X .

$$0 \rightarrow \tau_AX \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{v} X \rightarrow 0$$

By Lemmata 3.11 and 3.12 the almost split sequence ending with X in $\text{mod}A$ coincides with the almost split sequence ending with X in $\text{mod}A/I$. Hence $\tau_AX = \tau_{A/I}X$. Dually we can prove that $\tau_A^{-1}X = \tau_{A/I}^{-1}X$. $\square$

Now we can prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.14. *Let Σ be a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$ and let I be an ideal of A such that $I \subseteq \text{Ann}\Sigma$. Then Σ is also a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A/I$.*

Proof. First we prove that Σ is a presection in $\text{mod}A/I$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an arrow in $\Gamma_{A/I}$ such that $X \in \Sigma$. If $Y \in \Sigma$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose the contrary. Now, f is an irreducible morphism in $\text{mod}A$ which is a direct summand of the right almost split morphism $f' : E \rightarrow Y$. So, we can write the almost split sequence ending with Y .

$$0 \rightarrow \tau_A Y \xrightarrow{g'} E \xrightarrow{f'} Y \rightarrow 0$$

with X an indecomposable direct summand of E .

Then, because Σ is a presection in $\text{mod}A$, it follows that $\tau_A Y \in \Sigma$. Then Corollary 3.13 implies that $Y = \tau_A^{-1} \tau_A Y = \tau_{A/I}^{-1} \tau_A Y$. Hence $\tau_A Y = \tau_{A/I} Y$. Therefore $\tau_{A/I} Y \in \Sigma$.

Dualizing arguments, we prove that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an arrow in Γ_A such that $Y \in \Sigma$ then either X or $\tau_{A/I}^{-1} X$ belongs to Σ . Therefore Σ is a presection in $\text{mod}A/I$.

Moreover $\text{Hom}_{A/I}(\Sigma, \tau_{A/I} \Sigma) = \text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \tau_{A/I} \Sigma) = \text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \tau_A \Sigma) = 0$. Therefore Σ is a τ -rigid presection in $\text{mod}A/I$. Lemma 3.9 implies that Σ is a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A/I$, finishing the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.15. Let Σ be a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$. Then Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod}C$ by Corollary 3.8. Therefore [5, Lemma VIII.5.5] implies that $\text{Hom}_C(\tau_C^{-1} \Sigma, \Sigma) = 0$. Hence $\text{Hom}_A(\tau_A^{-1} \Sigma, \Sigma) = 0$ by Corollary 3.13. Thus every τ -slice Σ is at the same time a support τ -tilting module and a support τ^{-1} -cotilting module in $\text{mod}A$. This behavior of τ -slices generalizes the property of the complete slices which are tilting modules and cotilting modules at the same time.

Corollary 3.16. *Let Σ be a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$ and consider the torsion pair $(\text{Fac}\Sigma, \text{Sub}(\tau\Sigma))$. Let $B = \text{End}_A(\Sigma)$ be the endomorphism algebra of Σ . Then there exists a torsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in $\text{mod}B$ such that*

1. *The functor $\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, -) : \text{Fac}\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $- \otimes_B \Sigma : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Fac}\Sigma$.*
2. *The functor $\text{Ext}_A^1(\Sigma, -) : \text{Sub}(\tau\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $\text{Tor}_1^B(-, \Sigma) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Sub}(\tau\Sigma)$.*

Proof. Theorem 3.14 tells us that $\tau_A \Sigma \cong \tau_{A/I} \Sigma$ for every ideal $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_A \Sigma$. In particular $\tau_A \Sigma \cong \tau_C \Sigma$, where $C = A/\text{Ann}_A \Sigma$. Then the statement follows directly from Corollary 2.2. $\square$

3.3 Tilted and Cluster Tilted Algebras

In this subsection, we study complete slices in tilted algebras and local slices in cluster tilted algebras as τ -slices.

Lemma 3.17. *Let A be a tilted algebra and Σ be a complete slice in $\text{mod}A$. Then Σ is a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$.*

Proof. First, it was shown in [14] that every complete slice Σ is a tilting module. Then Σ is a faithful τ -tilting module. Moreover we know by [17] and [23] that Σ induces a section in Γ_A . Then Σ is a presection by [5, Lemma VIII.1.4]. Thus Lemma 3.9 implies that Σ is a complete τ -slice. $\square$

The following characterization of tilted algebras is a consequence of our results so far. It was first shown by Liu [18] and independently by Skowronski and Yamagata (private communication).

Corollary 3.18 ([18], Theorem 2.6). *An algebra A is tilted if and only if there exists a faithful τ -slice Σ in $\text{mod}A$.*

Proof. Let A be a tilted algebra. Then there exists a complete slice Σ in $\text{mod} A$. Lemma 3.17 implies that Σ is a complete τ -slice. Moreover Σ is faithful because it is a tilting A -module.

Let A be an algebra with a faithful τ -slice Σ . Because Σ is faithful, then $\text{Ann}_A \Sigma = 0$. Hence Corollary 3.8 implies that A is a tilted algebra. $\square$

Another type of slice present in the literature is the local slices defined by Assem, Brüstle and Schiffler in [2].

Definition 3.19 ([2], Definition 11). Let A be an algebra. A subset Σ of the Auslander-Reiten quiver Γ_A of A is a *local slice* if the following conditions hold.

1. Σ is a presection.
2. Σ is *sectionally convex*, that is for every path

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n = Y$$

in Γ_A such that $X_i \neq \tau X_{i+2}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $X, Y \in \Sigma$, we have $X_i \in \Sigma$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$.

3. $|\Sigma| = |A|$

Lemma 3.20. *Let Σ be a τ -slice. Then Σ is sectionally convex.*

Proof. Consider the sectional path $X = X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_n = Y$, such that $X, Y \in \Sigma$. Then the map $f = f_{n-1} \dots f_1 \neq 0$ (see [5, Corollary IX.2.2]). Therefore $X_i \in \Sigma$ because Σ is weakly convex by Corollary 3.10. Thus Σ is sectionally convex. $\square$

The following proposition shows that the notions of τ -slices and local slices coincide in cluster tilted algebras.

Proposition 3.21. *Let A be an algebra and Σ a complete τ -slice. Then Σ is a local slice. Moreover, if A is a cluster-tilted algebra then Σ is a local slice if and only if Σ is a complete τ -slice.*

Proof. Let Σ be a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod} A$. By definition Σ is a presection in Γ_A . Moreover $|\Sigma| = |A|$ and Σ is sectionally convex by Lemma 3.20. Therefore Σ is a local slice.

Let A be a cluster-tilted algebra and let Σ be a local slice in Γ_A . Therefore Σ is a presection and $|A| = |\Sigma|$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the cluster category and T be a cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $A \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$. It was shown in [2] that for every local slice Σ in Γ_A there exists a cluster-tilting object $\tilde{\Sigma}$ such that $\Sigma = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, \tilde{\Sigma})$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{C}} T$ do not have direct summands in common. Now, [12, Theorem A] implies that $\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \tau_A \Sigma) \cong \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, \tilde{\Sigma}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\Sigma})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\Sigma}) / \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ is the set of morphisms from $\tilde{\Sigma}$ to $\tau_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\Sigma}$ that factor through an object in the category $\text{add}(\tau_{\mathcal{C}} T)$. But $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tau_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\Sigma}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\Sigma}[1]) = 0$ because $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is cluster tilting in $\mathcal{C}$. Therefore $\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \tau_A \Sigma) = 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.9 that Σ is a complete τ -slice. $\square$





Figure 1:

Question 3.22. Let A be an algebra and Σ be a local slice in $\text{mod} A$. Is Σ a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod} A$?

Recall from Proposition 3.7 that if Σ is a τ -slice in $\text{mod} A$, then $\text{End}_A(\Sigma)$ is hereditary. Given a τ -slice Σ we say that Σ is of *type* Δ if $\text{End}_A(\Sigma) = k\Delta$. The following example show us an interesting fact: if Σ_1 and Σ_2 are complete τ -slices in $\text{mod} A$, then the type of Σ_1 might be different from the type of Σ_2 .

Example 3.23. Take the path algebra A of the following quiver



bound by the ideal generated by all paths of length two except $\alpha\epsilon$. Its Auslander-Reiten quiver is drawn in Figure 1.

One can see that $\Sigma = \begin{smallmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 54 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus 4 \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{smallmatrix}$ is a complete τ -slice of type $\mathbb{A}_5$ while $\tilde{\Sigma} = \begin{smallmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus 3 \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$ is a complete τ -slice of type $\mathbb{D}_4$.

4 τ -slices and Tilted Algebras

In this section we study complete τ -slices in tilted algebras. We now prove the key lemma of this section.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Γ be a connected component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver Γ_A of an algebra A . Assume that Γ is convex, generalized standard and has a complete τ -slice Σ .*

If there is no path in Γ from Σ to a projective and, dually, no path in Γ from an injective to Σ then A is tilted having Σ as complete slice.

Proof. Let $C = A/\text{Ann}\Sigma$. By Corollary 3.8, C is tilted having Σ as complete slice. We prove that the component Γ consists only of C -modules. To do so, we show by induction that every predecessor X of Σ is the kernel of a morphism in $\text{mod}C$, and thus a C -module. The proof that every successor of Σ is the cokernel of a morphism in $\text{mod}C$ is dual and is left to the reader.

Because Σ is a finite presection, Σ has at least one sink X by Lemma 3.3. If X is projective then $\tau X = 0$ and trivially it is a C -module. Suppose that X is not projective. Since X is a sink in Σ then, for each arrow $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in Γ , the module Y belongs to Σ . Take the following almost split sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow \tau_A X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

Then every indecomposable summand of Y is a C -module. Consequently, so is Y . Therefore $\tau_A X$ is a C -module because it is the kernel of a morphism in $\text{mod}C$.

Let X be a predecessor of Σ , such that, inductively, $\tau_A^{-1}X$ and every immediate successor of X is a C -module. By hypothesis X is not an injective A -module, then $\tau_A^{-1}X \neq 0$. Consider the almost split sequence starting with X .

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} \tau_A^{-1}X \longrightarrow 0$$

Then Y and $\tau_A^{-1}X$ are C -modules. Therefore f is a morphism in $\text{mod}C$. Thus X is a C -module. This completes the proof that the component Γ consists of C -modules.

We know that Σ is a complete slice of $\text{mod}C$. Then Σ is convex in $\text{mod}C$. In particular Σ is convex in Γ . Moreover Γ is convex in $\text{mod}A$. Therefore Σ is convex in $\text{mod}A$.

Because Σ is a complete τ -slice, Σ is sincere as A -module. Take an almost split sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0$$

with X and Y indecomposable. Suppose that an indecomposable summand Z_1 of Z belongs to Σ . Then there is an arrow $f : X \rightarrow Z_1$ from X to Z_1 . Therefore either X or Y belongs to Σ because Σ is a presection.

Then Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod}A$ and the statement follows. $\square$

The following theorem is a consequence of Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. *Let Γ be a connected component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver Γ_A of an algebra A . Assume that Γ is convex and generalized standard. Then A is tilted if and only if Γ contains a section Σ such that $\Sigma = \bigoplus_{M \in \Sigma} M$ is a τ -rigid module and $|A| = |\Sigma|$.*

Proof. Because of Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that there is no path in Γ from Σ to a projective not in Σ , and no path from an injective not in Σ to Σ .

Assume that there exists a path in Γ from a module $Y \in \Sigma$ to a projective P :

$$Y = Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_t = P$$

Because Σ is sincere, there exists $X \in \Sigma$ such that $\text{Hom}_A(P, X) \neq 0$. Because Γ is generalized standard, this implies the existence of a path in Γ

$$P = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_s = X$$

Composing both paths we get a path in Γ from Y to X passing through P . Convexity of Σ in Γ implies that $P \in \Sigma$. This proves the first statement. The second is dual.

Conversely let Σ be a complete slice in $\text{mod} A$. Then Σ is a τ -rigid section and $|\Sigma| = |A|$ because Σ is a tilting module. Moreover Σ lies in the connecting component of Γ_A , which is convex and generalized standard, see [5, Chapter VIII]. This completes the proof. $\square$

Note that in the previous theorem, the local condition $\text{Ann}\Sigma = 0$ in the criterion of Liu-Skowronski (see [5, Chapter VIII, Section 5]) is replaced by a global one, namely the convexity of the connected component Γ .

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a tilted algebra. Then Σ is a complete τ -slice if and only if Σ is a complete slice.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.8 that complete slices are precisely faithful τ -slices.

Conversely, let Σ be a complete τ -slice, $\tilde{\Sigma}$ a complete slice in $\text{mod} A$ and $(\text{Fac}\tilde{\Sigma}, \text{Sub}(\tau\tilde{\Sigma}))$ be the torsion pair associated to the latter. We consider three cases.

First suppose that every $M \in \Sigma$ belong to $\text{Sub}(\tau\tilde{\Sigma})$. Then $\text{pd}\Sigma \leq 1$ by [5, Lemma VIII.3.2]. Then Σ is a tilting module by Proposition 1.9. Hence Σ is faithful.

Dually suppose that every $M \in \Sigma$ belong to $\text{Fac}\tilde{\Sigma}$. Then $\text{id}\Sigma \leq 1$. Hence Σ is a cotilting module. Hence Σ is faithful.

Finally, suppose that Σ is neither contained in $\text{Sub}(\tau\tilde{\Sigma})$ nor in $\text{Fac}\tilde{\Sigma}$. Then there exists indecomposable direct summands Y_i, Y_j of Σ such that $Y_i \in \text{Fac}\tilde{\Sigma}$ and $Y_j \in \text{Sub}(\tau\tilde{\Sigma})$ because $\tilde{\Sigma}$ induces a splitting torsion pair in $\text{mod} A$ by [5, Lemma.VIII.3.2]. Hence Σ is contained in the connecting component Γ containing $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Therefore Γ is convex and generalized standard. It is known that Γ is a full connected subquiver of $\mathbb{Z}\tilde{\Sigma}$. Thus Σ is a presection in the stable quiver $\mathbb{Z}\tilde{\Sigma}$ because it is a presection in Γ . It is shown in [2, Proposition 7] that presections and sections coincide in a stable translation quiver. Therefore Σ is a section in $\mathbb{Z}\tilde{\Sigma}$.

We claim that Σ is a section in Γ . First, Σ is convex and acyclic in Γ because it is convex and acyclic in $\mathbb{Z}\tilde{\Sigma}$. Because Σ is a section in $\mathbb{Z}\tilde{\Sigma}$, then for each $X \in \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\Sigma}$


there exists a unique $Y_k \in \Sigma$ and a unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $X = \tau^n Y_k$. Therefore Σ is a section in Γ . Moreover Σ is τ -rigid because Σ is a τ -tilting module and $|A| = |\Sigma|$. Then Theorem 4.2 implies that Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod } A$. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *Let A be an algebra having a complete τ -slice Σ in Γ_A . Then A is tilted having Σ as a complete slice if and only if Σ is faithful.*

Proof. If Σ is faithful, then Corollary 3.18 implies that A is tilted. Conversely, if A is tilted then Σ is a complete slice by Proposition 4.3. So Σ is faithful. $\square$

The following example shows that the hypothesis of Γ being convex cannot be removed from Theorem 4.2.

Example 4.5. Consider the algebra A given by the path algebra of the quiver



factored by its square radical. Consider the connected component Γ of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A represented in Figure 2. We can see that the complete τ -slice $\Sigma = \begin{smallmatrix} 11 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus 1 \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 3 \\ 11 \end{smallmatrix}$ is a τ -rigid section in Γ . It is also true that Γ is generalized standard. However A is not tilted and Σ is not a complete slice.




Figure 2:

Let A be an algebra. For every presentation $A = kQ/I$, one compute its fundamental group $\pi_1(Q, I)$ (see [19]). We say that a triangular algebra A is *simply connected* if the fundamental group $\pi(Q, I)$ is trivial for every presentation of $A = kQ/I$. We can also associate a fundamental group $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ to a connected component Γ of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A (see [10]). In particular, a component Γ is simply connected if and only if its orbit graph is a tree. Moreover, if a tilted algebra is simply connected then its connecting component is simply connected, see [16]. For the rest of the section we study simply connected algebras.

Lemma 4.6. *Let Γ be a connected component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver Γ_A of an algebra A . Assume that Γ has a τ -slice Σ . If Γ is simply connected then each point of Σ belongs to a different τ -orbit of Γ .*

Proof. First of all, because Γ is simply connected, Γ is acyclic.

Let Ω be a full connected subquiver of Σ and consider a numeration in $\Omega_0 = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t\}$ such that there is an arrow from X_i to X_{i+1} or an arrow from X_{i+1} to X_i for every i .

Suppose that $\Omega_0 = \{X_1, X_2\}$. We can suppose without loss of generality that there is an arrow from X_1 to X_2 . Suppose that X_1 and X_2 are in the same τ -orbit. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X_1 = \tau^n X_2$ or $X_1 = \tau^{-n} X_2$. If $X_2 = \tau^n X_1$, then there is a cycle in Γ , a contradiction to our hypothesis. If $X_2 = \tau^{-n} X_1$, then there is an arrow from X_2 to $\tau^{-1} X_1 = \tau^{n-1} X_2$. So we can apply the same argument to deduce that there is a cycle in Γ .

Suppose that $\Omega_0 = \{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ and that $X_3 = \tau^n X_1$ with $n \geq 1$. Notice that the last assumption implies that X_3 is not injective and, dually, that X_1 is not projective. We have, without loss of generality, the following four cases:

1. There is an arrow from X_1 to X_2 and one arrow from X_2 to X_3 : In this case it is trivial that there is a cycle in the component;
2. There is an arrow from X_2 to X_1 and one arrow from X_2 to X_3 : In this case there exists an arrow from $\tau_A X_1$ to X_2 and we are back to the first case, and we can construct a cycle;
3. There is an arrow from X_1 to X_2 and one arrow from X_3 to X_2 : If we consider X_1 , X_2 and $\tau_A^{-1} X_3$, we are in the situation of the first case once again, where a cycle in the component arises;
4. There is an arrow from X_3 to X_2 and one arrow from X_2 to X_1 : because Σ is a presection we know that X_3 is not $\tau_A X_1$, then considering τX_1 , X_2 and X_3 we have the situation of the third case and once again we can construct a cycle in Γ .

Therefore if X_1 and X_3 belong to the same τ -orbit then there exists a cycle in Γ , a contradiction with our hypothesis.

Finally, suppose that $\Omega_0 = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t\}$ with $t \geq 4$ and that X_i does not belong to the τ -orbit of X_j if $1 \leq |i - j| \leq t - 2$. If X_1 belongs to the τ -orbit of X_t for some Ω , then the orbit graph of Γ contains a subgraph which is a cycle, contradicting the hypothesis of simple connectedness. Therefore every point of Σ belongs to a different τ -orbit. $\square$

Theorem 4.7. *Let A be an algebra and Γ_A be its Auslander-Reiten quiver. Assume that Γ_A has a connected component Γ which is simply connected, convex and generalized standard. Then Σ is a complete τ -slice in Γ if and only if A is a simply connected tilted algebra having Σ as a complete slice in $\text{mod } A$.*

Proof. Necessity. Following Lemma 4.1, it is enough to show that there is no path in Γ from Σ to a projective and no path from an injective to Σ .

Fix a numbering in the points of $\Sigma_0 = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. Suppose that there exists a path ω'

$$X \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y_t \rightarrow P$$

in Γ from $X \in \Sigma$ to an indecomposable projective module P which does not belong to Σ . Because Σ is a finite presection we can suppose without loss of generality the existence of $X_1 \in \Sigma$ such that $Y_1 = \tau^{-1}X_1$.

The τ -slice Σ is a sincere A -module because it is a complete τ -slice. Then there exists $Y \in \Sigma_0$ such that $\text{Hom}_A(P, Y) \neq 0$. This implies the existence of a path in Γ from P to Y because Γ is generalized standard. Let ω'' be such a path:

$$P \rightarrow Y_{t+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y_{m-1} \rightarrow Y_m \rightarrow Y.$$

Because Σ is a finite presection, [5, Corollary IX.2.3] implies that there exist j and $X_k \in \Sigma$ such that $t+1 \leq j \leq m$ and $Y_j = \tau X_k$. We can suppose without loss of generality that $j = m$.

Take the composition path $\omega = \omega' \omega''$.

$$X \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y_{m-1} \rightarrow Y_m \rightarrow Y$$

Consider the set $\mathcal{I} = \{Y_i = \tau^{z_i} X_h : Y_i \in \omega, X_h \in \Sigma, z_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This set is not empty because $Y_1, Y_m \in \mathcal{I}$. Also, if, for each h with $1 \leq h \leq n$ we let $\mathcal{I}_h = \{Y_i = \tau^{z_i} X_h : Y_i \in \omega, z_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{h=1}^n \mathcal{I}_h$$

Moreover, Lemma 4.6 implies that $\mathcal{I}_i \cap \mathcal{I}_j = \emptyset$.

Let Y_s be the first point in the path ω such that $Y_s = \tau^{z_s} X_s$ with $z_s \geq 1$ for some $X_s \in \Sigma_0$. Such a Y_s exists because $Y_m = \tau X_k$. If $Y_s = Y_1$ then $Y_s = \tau^{z_s} X_1$ by Lemma 4.6. This allows us to construct a cycle from X_1 to itself passing by $\tau^{-1}X_1$ and $\tau^{z_s}X_1$,

$$X_1 \rightarrow * \rightarrow \tau^{-1}X_1 = \tau^{z_s}X_1 \rightarrow * \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tau X_1 \rightarrow * \rightarrow X_1$$

a contradiction to our hypothesis.

Suppose that $s > 1$ and take $Y_r = \tau^{z_r} X_r$ such that $r < s$ and $Y_i \notin \mathcal{I}$ if $r < i < s$. Then there exists a subpath $\tilde{\omega}$ of ω from Y_r to Y_s . Because Γ is simply connected, then either $X_r = X_s$ or they lie in two neighboring τ -orbits. If $X_r = X_s$ then it is possible to construct a cycle from X_r to itself as follows.

$$X_r \rightarrow * \rightarrow \tau^{-1}X_r \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tau^{z_r}X_r \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} \tau^{z_s}X_r \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tau X_r \rightarrow * \rightarrow X_r$$

Otherwise X_r and X_s belong to neighboring τ -orbits because Γ is simply connected. Moreover, because Σ is connected then there is an arrow from X_r to X_s (or from X_s to

X_r). Therefore we can construct a cycle from X_r to itself passing by Y_r , Y_s and τX_s (or X_s),

$$X_r \rightarrow * \rightarrow \tau^{-1}X_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^{z_r}X_r \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} \tau^{z_s}X_s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau X_s \rightarrow X_r$$

a contradiction to our assumption.


Hence there is no path from Σ to a projective P in Γ which is not in Σ . Dually, there is no path from an injective I in Γ not in Σ to Σ . Therefore Lemma 4.1 implies that A is tilted and Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod}A$.

Moreover Σ is a tree because Γ is simply connected, see [10]. Then [16, Theorem A] implies that A is simply connected.

Sufficiency. Let Σ be a complete slice in $\text{mod}A$. Then Σ is a complete τ -slice lying in the connecting component Γ of Γ_A , which is convex and generalized standard. Moreover Γ is simply connected by [19, Theorem 4.3]. This finishes the proof. $\square$

The following example shows that the hypothesis of Γ being simply connected cannot be removed in Theorem 4.7.

Example 4.8. Consider the algebra A being the path algebra of the quiver



modulo its square radical. See its Auslander-Reiten quiver in Figure 3. We can see that Γ_A has only one connected component which is acyclic and trivially generalized standard but not simply connected. Here $\Sigma = {}^2_1 \oplus {}^{23}_1 \oplus {}^2 \oplus {}^{5}_{42} \oplus {}^5_2$ is a complete τ -slice. However A is not a tilted algebra and Σ is not a complete slice. Note that ${}^2_1$ and ${}^5_2$ belong to the same τ -orbit.

5 Some Extensions of Algebras with τ -Slices

In this final section we take an algebra A with a τ -slice Σ and we construct some new algebras $\tilde{A}$ based on A and having a τ -slice in $\text{mod}\tilde{A}$. First we consider one-point (co)extensions. Afterwards we consider split-by-nilpotent extensions. Finally we give a series of algebras having τ -slices in order to illustrate the results of this section and Theorem 3.14.

Let A be an algebra and M an A -module. We denote by $B = A[M]$ the *one-point extension* of A by M , that is, the matrix algebra

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ M & k \end{pmatrix}$$




Figure 3: The Auslander-Reiten quiver of A

with the ordinary matrix addition and the multiplication induced from the module structure of M . Note that the ordinary quiver of the algebra B is obtained from that of A by adding a new vertex, called the *extension point*, such that the radical of the indecomposable projective module associated to this vertex is M .

Following [6] we denote by $\mathcal{C}_A$ the class of objects X in $\text{mod} A$ such that the almost split sequences ending with X in $\text{mod} A$ and in $\text{mod} B$ coincide.

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be an algebra with a complete τ -slice Σ and let $X \in \text{add} \Sigma$. Then the algebra $B = A[X]$ has a complete τ -slice $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma \oplus P_x$, where P_x is the projective B -module associated to the extension point.*

Proof. Set $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma \oplus P_x$.

First note that every indecomposable projective A -module is also an indecomposable projective B -module. Moreover we have $\text{Hom}_A(X, \tau_A \Sigma) = 0$, because X belongs to $\text{add}(\Sigma)$. Therefore every indecomposable non-projective summand of Σ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_A$ by [6, Corollary 2.6]. Consequently $\tau_A \Sigma \cong \tau_B \Sigma$.

We have $\text{Hom}_B(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tau_B \tilde{\Sigma}) = \text{Hom}_B(P_x, \tau_B \Sigma) \oplus \text{Hom}_B(\Sigma, \tau_B \Sigma)$ by the additivity of the Hom functor and the fact that P_x is a projective B -module. Because $\tau_B \Sigma$ is an A -module, the simple module S_x associated to the extension point x is not a composition factor of $\tau_B \Sigma$. Then $\text{Hom}_B(P_x, \tau_B \Sigma) = 0$. Also, $\text{Hom}_B(\Sigma, \tau_B \Sigma) = \text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \tau_A \Sigma) = 0$. Hence $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a τ -rigid B -module.

Now we prove that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a presection. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an arrow in Γ_B and suppose that N belongs to $\tilde{\Sigma}$. If $N = P_x$ then $M \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ because the minimal right almost split

morphism ending in a projective is the inclusion of the radical and by hypothesis every direct summand of $\text{rad}P_x$ belong to $\text{add}\Sigma$. If $N \in \Sigma$ then f is an arrow in Γ_A because the almost split sequences ending with N in $\text{mod}A$ and in $\text{mod}B$ coincide. We know that Σ is a presection in $\text{mod}A$. Then either $M \in \Sigma$ or $M' = \tau_A^{-1}M \in \Sigma$. Therefore either M or $\tau_B^{-1}M$ belong to $\tilde{\Sigma}$.

Now, suppose that $M \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ and that N is a projective B -module. If $N = P_x$ then $N \in \tilde{\Sigma}$. If N is another projective B -module, then N is a projective A -module. By Lemma 3.2, $N \in \Sigma$. Hence $N \in \tilde{\Sigma}$.

Assume now that N is not projective. Then $\tau_B N \neq 0$. Therefore there is an arrow $f' : \tau_B N \rightarrow M$. So, either $\tau_B N$ belongs to Σ or $\tau_A^{-1}\tau_B N$ belongs to Σ . If $\tau_B N$ belongs to Σ , then there is nothing to prove. If $\tau_A^{-1}\tau_B N$ is in Σ , then in particular $\tau_B N$ is an A -module. Therefore $\tau_A N = \tau_B N$. So $N = \tau_A^{-1}\tau_A N = \tau_A^{-1}\tau_B N$ belongs to Σ .

Then $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a τ -rigid presection in $\text{mod}B$. Therefore Lemma 3.9 implies that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a τ -slice in $\text{mod}B$. Moreover it is a complete τ -slice because $|\tilde{\Sigma}| = |\Sigma| + 1 = |A| + 1 = |B|$. $\square$

As a corollary we get the following well-known result, see for instance [20, Lemma 3.5].

Corollary 5.2. *Let A be a tilted algebra with complete slice Σ and $X \in \text{add}\Sigma$. If we denote by P_x the projective B -module associated to the extension point, then the algebra $B = A[X]$ is a tilted algebra having $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma \oplus P_x$ as a complete slice.*

Remark 5.3. Similar results have been proved by Oryu and Schiffler in [20] working in the context of cluster tilted algebras. In particular they proved that the one-point extension $B[P]$ of a cluster tilted algebra B by a projective module P lying in a local slice is cluster tilted (see [20, Theorem 3.6]).

Lemma 5.4. *Let Σ be a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$. Then $\text{Fac}(\tau^{-1}\Sigma) \cup \text{add}\Sigma = \text{Fac}(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. In Corollary 3.13 we showed that $\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma = \tau_C^{-1}\Sigma$, where $C = A/\text{Ann}\Sigma$. Moreover Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod}C$ and C is a tilted algebra by Corollary 3.8. Therefore it is sufficient to show the statement in the case where A is tilted.

Let A be tilted and Σ be a complete slice in $\text{mod}A$. Then [5, Lemma VIII.3.2] implies that $\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma \in \text{Fac}\Sigma$ because $\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma$ is a successor of Σ . Therefore $\text{Fac}(\tau^{-1}\Sigma) \subseteq \text{Fac}(\Sigma)$. Moreover, for every indecomposable module $N \in \text{Fac}\Sigma$ there exists an epimorphism $\pi : M \rightarrow N$, where $M \in \text{add}\Sigma$. If N is not a direct summand of Σ , π factors through $\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma$ by [5, Lemma VIII.5.4]. Therefore $N \in \text{Fac}(\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma)$. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Let A be an algebra with a τ -slice Σ and let $X \in \text{Fac}(\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma)$. Then Σ is a τ -slice for the algebra $B = A[X]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, $\text{Fac}(\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma)$ is contained in $\text{Fac}_A\Sigma$. Therefore $\text{Hom}_A(X, \tau_A\Sigma) = 0$. Then [6, Corollary 2.6] implies that $Y \in \mathcal{C}_A$ for every Y indecomposable direct summand of Σ . Hence $\text{Hom}_B(\Sigma, \tau_B\Sigma) = \text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \tau_A\Sigma) = 0$, in other words, Σ is a τ -rigid B -module. Also $\text{Hom}_A(X, \Sigma) = 0$ by Corollary 3.13. Hence $Z \in \mathcal{C}_A$ for every indecomposable direct summand Z of $\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma$. Then every almost split sequence starting or ending

in Σ in $\text{mod}A$ coincides with the corresponding almost split sequence starting or ending in Σ in $\text{mod}B$. Therefore Σ is a presection in Γ_B because it is a presection in Γ_A .

The assertion follows from Lemma 3.9. $\square$

Using the dual arguments we can prove the following results. We denote by $[X]A$ the one point coextension of an algebra A by the A -module X .

Theorem 5.6. *Let A be an algebra with a complete τ -slice Σ and let $X \in \text{add}\Sigma$. Then the algebra $B = [X]A$ has a complete τ -slice $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma \oplus I_x$, where I_x is the injective B -module associated to the extension point.*

Corollary 5.7. *Let A be an algebra with a τ -slice Σ and let $X \in \text{Sub}(\tau_A \Sigma)$. Then Σ is a τ -slice for the algebra $B = [X]A$.*

Remark 5.8. Note that in Corollary 5.5 and in Corollary 5.7 the τ -slice Σ will never be complete because $|\Sigma| = |A|$ and $|B| = |A| + 1$.

Let A be an algebra and Q an A - A -bimodule equipped an A - A -morphism $\mu : Q \otimes_A Q \rightarrow Q$. Then $B = A \oplus Q$ becomes an algebra if one defines the multiplication by

$$(a, q) \cdot (a', q') = (aa', aq' + qa' + qq')$$

Moreover there exists a split exact sequence of k -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\iota} B \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0$$

where $\iota : q \mapsto (0, q)$ is the inclusion of Q as a two-sided ideal of $B = A \oplus Q$, and the projection (algebra) morphism $\pi : (a, q) \mapsto a$ has as section the inclusion (algebra) morphism $\sigma : a \mapsto (a, 0)$. Then we say that B is the *split extension* of A by Q . If Q is nilpotent we say that B is the *split-by-nilpotent extension* of A by Q . In particular, if $\mu(q \otimes q') = 0$ for every $q, q' \in Q$, we say that B is the *trivial extension* of A by Q . We refer the reader to [6] for further details.

For the rest of the paper we will consider split-by-nilpotent extensions.

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition on Q to make Σ a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod}B$.

Theorem 5.9. *Let Σ be a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$ and Q a nilpotent A - A -bimodule. Consider the split-by-nilpotent extension B of A by Q . Then Σ is a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod}B$ if and only if $Q_A \in \text{Fac}(\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma)$ and $D({}_A Q) \in \text{Sub}(\tau_A \Sigma)$. Moreover, if A is a tilted algebra and Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod}A$ then $\text{Ann}_B \Sigma = Q$.*

Proof. Let M be an indecomposable direct summand of Σ . Suppose that $Q_A \in \text{Fac}(\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma)$ and $D({}_A Q) \in \text{Sub}(\tau_A \Sigma)$. Then $\text{Hom}_A(M, DQ) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_A(Q, \tau_A M) = 0$. If M is an indecomposable projective A -module, then $M = eA$ for some primitive idempotent e of A . Therefore the simple module $\text{top}(eA)$ is not a composition factor of $D({}_A Q)$. Now $D(eQ) = D(Q)e = 0$. Hence $eQ = 0$. Consequently [6, Corollary 1.4] implies that M is a projective B -module. If M is not a projective A -module [6, Theorem 2.1] implies that

the almost split sequences ending with M in $\text{mod}A$ and in $\text{mod}B$ coincide. Hence the almost split sequences ending with M in $\text{mod}A$ and in $\text{mod}B$ coincide for all modules $M \in \Sigma$.

Dually we can prove that every indecomposable injective summand of Σ is also an injective B -module. Also the dual statement of [6, Theorem 2.1] implies that the almost split sequences starting with M in $\text{mod}A$ and in $\text{mod}B$ coincide for all module $M \in \Sigma$ under the hypothesis that Q_A belongs to $\text{Fac}_A(\tau_A^{-1}\Sigma)$ and $D({}_A Q)$ belongs to $\text{Sub}(\tau_A \Sigma)$ because $\text{Hom}_A(\tau_A^{-1}M, DQ) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_A(Q, M) = 0$. Therefore Σ is a presection in Γ_B because it is a presection in Γ_A . Also, $\text{Hom}_B(\Sigma, \tau_B \Sigma) = \text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, \tau_A \Sigma) = 0$. Thus Σ is a τ -slice in Γ_A , which is complete because $|A| = |B|$.

Conversely, suppose that Σ is a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod}B$. First we prove that $Q \subseteq \text{Ann}\Sigma$. We have that $B \cong A \oplus Q$ as k -vector spaces. The action of $b = (a, q) \in B$ on an A -module M is given by the following formula.

$$Mb = M(a, q) := Ma$$

Then $Q = \{(0, q)\} \subseteq \text{Ann}_B M$. Therefore Corollary 3.13 implies that $\tau_B \Sigma = \tau_A \Sigma$. Hence $\text{Hom}_C(M, D_C Q) = 0$ for every M non-projective indecomposable summand of Σ by [6, Theorem 2.1]. If $M = eA$ is a projective summand of Σ , then Theorem 3.14 implies that $M = eA = eC$. Moreover $D(Qe) = 0$ by [6, Corollary 1.4]. Then $\text{Hom}_A(eA, DQ) = 0$. Therefore $\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, DQ) = 0$. Thus $DQ \in \text{Sub}_A(\tau_A \Sigma)$. The other assertion is proved dually.

Finally, if A is tilted and Σ is a complete slice, then Σ is a faithful A -module by Corollary 3.18 and $\text{Ann}_B \Sigma = Q$, finishing the proof. $\square$

In [3], Assem, Brüstle and Schiffler showed that a given cluster tilted algebra $\tilde{C}$ is the trivial extension of a tilted algebra C by the C - C -bimodule $E = \text{Ext}_C^2(DC, C)$. They called the extension by this particular bimodule the *relation extension*. In the subsequent paper [2], they showed that every complete slice Σ in $\text{mod}C$ become a local slice in $\text{mod}\tilde{C}$ and, conversely, that every local slice in $\text{mod}\tilde{C}$ arises in this way. Later on, Assem, Bustamante, Dionne, Le Meur and Smith considered in [4] *partial relation extensions*. A partial relation extension of a tilted algebra C is the trivial extension of C by a direct summand E' of E as C - C -bimodule. They proved that every partial relation extension of a tilted algebra C has a local slice (see [4, Theorem 3]). As a consequence of the previous theorem and the explicit computation of the C -module structure E and $D(E)$, made by Schiffler and Serhiyenko in [22], we get the following corollary, implying [2, Theorem 19] and [4, Theorem 3].

Corollary 5.10. *Let C be a tilted algebra, Σ a complete slice in $\text{mod}C$, E as above and $\tilde{E} \in \text{add}_{C-C} E$. Then Σ is a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod}\tilde{C}$, where $\tilde{C}$ is the trivial extension of C by $\tilde{E}$.*


Proof. By Theorem 5.9, it is enough to prove that $\tilde{E}_C \in \text{Fac}(\tau^{-1}\Sigma)$ and $D(\tilde{E})_C \in \text{Sub}(\tau\Sigma)$. We prove the first statement and the second is dual.

It was shown in [22, Proposition 4.1] that $E_C \cong \tau^{-1}\Omega^{-1}C_C$, where Ω^{-1} stands for the cosyzygy functor. Let N be a non-injective indecomposable direct summand of $\Omega^{-1}C$.


Then there exists an indecomposable injective module I_N such that $\text{Hom}_C(I_N, N) \neq 0$. Moreover, there exists an indecomposable $L \in \Sigma$ such that $\text{Hom}_C(L, I_N) \neq 0$ because Σ is sincere. Therefore there is a path $L \rightarrow I_N \rightarrow N \rightarrow * \rightarrow \tau^{-1}N$ in $\text{mod}A$. Hence $\tau^{-1}N$ is a proper successor of Σ . Thus $N \in \text{Fac}(\tau^{-1}\Sigma)$, implying that $E_C \in \text{Fac}(\tau^{-1}\Sigma)$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Now we show algebras with τ -slices.

Example 5.11. Consider the cluster tilted algebra $\tilde{A}$ given by the quiver




modulo the ideal $I = \langle \alpha\beta - \omega\delta, \beta\gamma, \delta\gamma, \gamma\omega, \gamma\alpha \rangle$. As we can see in Figure 4, the module $\Sigma = {}^2_1 \oplus {}^2_2 \oplus {}^3_2 \oplus {}^4_2$ is a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod}\tilde{A}$. Its annihilator is $\text{Ann}_{\tilde{A}}\Sigma = \langle \alpha, \omega \rangle$. Take $I' = \langle \omega \rangle$, contained in $\text{Ann}_{\tilde{A}}\Sigma$, and consider the algebra $A = \tilde{A}/I'$. The algebra A is the path algebra of the quiver



with radical square zero. Then, as proved in Theorem 3.14, $\Sigma = {}^2_1 \oplus {}^2_2 \oplus {}^3_2 \oplus {}^4_2$ is a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$. See Figure 5.

One can see that $A = A'[2]$ where A' is given by the quiver



with radical square zero and 2 is the simple module associated to the point 2. See the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A' in Figure 6. Then 2 belongs to the complete τ -slice $\Sigma_1 = {}^2_1 \oplus {}^2_2 \oplus {}^3_2$ and $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \oplus {}^4_2$, where ${}^4_2$ is the projective module associated to the extension point, agreeing with Theorem 5.1. On the other hand we have that $2 \in \text{Fac}_{A'}(\tau_{A'}^{-1}\Sigma_2)$, where $\Sigma_2 = {}^1_3 \oplus {}^1_1 \oplus {}^2_1$. Note that Σ_2 is a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod}A'$ and a τ -slice in $\text{mod}A$ which is not complete.

Finally consider the algebra $C = A/\langle \alpha \rangle$. Corollary 3.8 implies that C is tilted and Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod}C$ because $\text{Ann}_A\Sigma = \langle \alpha \rangle$. The nilpotent ideal $\text{Ann}_A\Sigma$ of




Figure 4: The Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\tilde{A}$




Figure 5: The Auslander-Reiten quiver of A

A has an induced structure of $C - C$ -bimodule. Moreover A is the split-by-nilpotent extension of C by $\text{Ann}_A \Sigma$. Also, as a right C -module $(\text{Ann}_A \Sigma)_C = {}^3 \in \text{Fac}_C(\tau_C^{-1} \Sigma)$ and $D({}_C(\text{Ann}_A \Sigma)) = {}^1 \in \text{Sub} \tau \Sigma$. Then Theorem 5.9 implies that Σ is a complete τ -slice in $\text{mod} B$ induced by the complete slice Σ in $\text{mod} C$.




Figure 6: The Auslander-Reiten quiver of A'

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thankfully acknowledges the financial support from the Faculté de Sciences of the Université de Sherbrooke. The author also thanks to Ibrahim Assem and David Smith for the attentive reading of the manuscript and their meaningful comments. Finally he is also grateful to Thomas Brüstle for useful discussions.

References

- [1] T. Adachi, O. Iyama, and I. Reiten. τ -tilting theory. *Compos. Math.*, 150(3):415–452, 2014.
- [2] I. Assem, T. Brüstle, and R. Schiffler. Cluster-tilted algebras and slices. *J. Algebra*, 319(8):3464–3479, 2008.
- [3] I. Assem, T. Brüstle, and R. Schiffler. Cluster-tilted algebras as trivial extensions. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 40(1):151–162, 2008.
- [4] I. Assem, J. C. Bustamante, J. Dionne, P. Le Meur, and D. Smith. Representation theory of partial relation extensions. *arXiv*, math.RT(1604.01269), 2016.
- [5] I. Assem, D. Simson, and A. Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1*, volume 65 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. Techniques of representation theory.
- [6] I. Assem and D. Zacharia. Full embeddings of almost split sequences over split-by-nilpotent extensions. *Colloq. Math.*, 81(1):21–31, 1999.
- [7] M. Auslander, M. I. Platzeck, and I. Reiten. Coxeter functors without diagrams. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 250:1–46, 1979.
- [8] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. O. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Corrected reprint of the 1995 original.
- [9] I. N. Bernšteĭn, I. M. Gel’fand, and V. A. Ponomarev. Coxeter functors, and Gabriel’s theorem. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 28(2(170)):19–33, 1973.
- [10] K. Bongartz and P. Gabriel. Covering spaces in representation-theory. *Invent. Math.*, 65(3):331–378, 1981/82.
- [11] S. Brenner and M. C. R. Butler. Generalizations of the Bernstein-Gel’fand-Ponomarev reflection functors. In *Representation theory, II (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979)*, volume 832 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 103–169. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1980.

- [12] A. B. Buan, R. J. Marsh, and I. Reiten. Cluster-tilted algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(1):323–332 (electronic), 2007.
- [13] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. I. Foundations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(2):497–529 (electronic), 2002.
- [14] D. Happel and C. M. Ringel. Tilted algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 274(2):399–443, 1982.
- [15] G. Jasso. Reduction of τ -tilting modules and torsion pairs. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):7190–7237, 2015.
- [16] P. Le Meur. Topological invariants of piecewise hereditary algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(4):2143–2170, 2011.
- [17] S. Liu. Semi-stable components of an Auslander-Reiten quiver. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 47(3):405–416, 1993.
- [18] S. Liu. Another characterization of tilted algebras. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 104(2):111–123, 2015.
- [19] R. Martínez-Villa and J. A. de la Peña. The universal cover of a quiver with relations. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 30(3):277–292, 1983.
- [20] M. Oryu and R. Schiffler. On one-point extensions of cluster-tilted algebras. *J. Algebra*, 357:168–182, 2012.
- [21] C. M. Ringel. *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*, volume 1099 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [22] R. Schiffler and K. Serhiyenko. Induced and coinduced modules in cluster-tilted algebras. *arXiv*, math.RT(1410.1732v2), 2014.
- [23] A. Skowroński. Generalized standard Auslander-Reiten components without oriented cycles. *Osaka J. Math.*, 30(3):515–527, 1993.